arXiv:1611.10358v2 [math.RA] 6 Dec 2016

# The quaternion over the ring of Colombeau's full generalized numbers

Wagner Cortes A. R. G. Garcia S. H. da Silva

December 7, 2016

**Abstract**

In this paper, we extend the results obtained by Cortes-Ferrero-Juriaans (2009) for the quaternion over the ring Colombeau's simplified generalized numbers, denoted by $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_s$, to the quaternion over the ring of Colombeau's full generalized numbers, denoted by $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. In this paper, we introduce and investigate the topological algebra of the quaternion over the ring of Colombeau's full generalized numbers. This is an important object to study if one wants to build the algebraic theory of Colombeau's full generalized numbers $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ studied by Aragona-Garcia-Juriaans (2013). We study some ring theoretical properties of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$, we classify the dense ideals of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ in the algebraic sense, and as a consequence, it has a maximal ring of quotients which is Von Neumann regular.

***Key words and phrases***— Full quaternion algebra; Colombeau's full generalized numbers; Noncommutative rings, and ideals.

MSC2010 classification: Primary 46F30; Secondary 46F20.

## 1 Introduction

Since its introduction, the theory of Colombeau generalized function has undergone rapid growth. Fundamental for this theory were the definitions of Scarpalézos' sharp topologies and the notion of point value by Kunzinger-Obbergunggenberger. A global theory was developed in [13].

The study of the algebraic aspects of this theory is relatively recent. This was proposed by J. Aragona and M. Obberguggenberger and started with a paper by Aragona-Juriaans [6] that extended its studies for Colombeau's full generalized numbers in [5]. This, and the developments mentioned above, due to D. Scarpalézos [22], M. Kunzinger [15] and M. Obbergungenberger [20]

led Aragona-Fernadez-Juriaans [3], to propose a differential calculus which in its turn was used to continue the algebraic aspects of the theory [6] and [7].

In [11] focus was on an algebra that may play important role in the study of the algebraic theory of these algebras. The authors introduced Colombeau's generalized quaternion algebras, $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_s$, and studied its topological and some of its algebraic properties. In this paper, we shall to extend their studies to Colombeau's full generalized numbers, i.e., we introduced Colombeau's full generalized quaternion algebras, $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ (see Definition 3.1), and study its topological and some algebraic properties. We study some ring theoretical properties as: duo (Theorem 4.5), exchange (Theorem 4.9), normal (Theorem 4.11), Gelfand (Theorem 4.13), and Bezout property (Theorem 4.14). We further classify the dense ideals in the algebraic sense of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ and prove that $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ have a maximal ring of quotients that is Von Newman regular.

In Section 2, we collect basic definitions, results and notations to be used throughout the paper and as a rule, whose proofs were omitted. In Section 3, we introduced the topological algebra of the quaternion full generalized numbers, where we present some results that are extensions of results obtained by Cortes-Ferrero-Juriaans in [11] and Aragona-Garcia-Juriaans in [5]. For example, Proposition 3.11 in Section 3 extends Proposition 2.6 that appears in Section 2 for the quaternion full generalized numbers. Finally, in Section 4, we study some interesting algebraic structure of quaternion full generalized numbers. Indeed, we study some ring theoretical properties such as: duo (Theorem 4.5), exchange (Theorem 4.9), normal (Theorem 4.11), Gelfand (Theorem 4.13), and Bezout property (Theorem 4.14) and related themes.

The notation used is mostly standard. Some important references for the theory of Colombeau's full generalized numbers, functions and their topologies are in [13, 2, 10, 15, 20, 22], and more recently, see [5, 25] and [11]. See also the set of Notation 1.1 of [5], for example, items $a), i), j), l)$ and $m)$ to understand some notations which appear throughout this paper.

# 2 Colombeau's full generalized numbers: a review

In this section, we recall some algebraic theory of Colombeau's full generalized numbers. We refer the interested reader to [5, 3, 6] and [7] for notation, more details and proofs of the results presented in this section.

The norm of an element $x \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is defined by

$$\|x\| = D(x, 0),$$

where $D$ is the ultra-metric in $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ defined in [5] inspired by Scarpalezos for the Colombeau's simplifies generalized numbers, $\overline{\mathbb{K}}_s$. Denote by $\mathrm{Inv}(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ the unit group of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{S}_f := \{A \subset \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{K}) | \forall\ p \in \mathbb{N},\ \exists\ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{K}),\ \text{such that } \{\varepsilon | \varphi_\varepsilon \in A\} \in \mathcal{S}\},$$

where $\mathcal{S} := \{S \subset ]0,1] | 0 \in \overline{S} \cap \overline{S^c}\}$. Here, the bar denotes topological closure. We denote $\mathcal{P}_*(\mathcal{S}_f)$ as the set of all subsets $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{S}_f$ which are stable under finite union and such that if $A \in \mathcal{S}_f$, then $A$ or $A^c$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}$. Also, $g_f(\mathcal{F}) = \langle \mathcal{X}_A : A \in \mathcal{F} \rangle$ denotes the ideal generated by the characteristic function of $A$, such that $A \in \mathcal{F}$. We also need to define the set

$$Z(\hat{x}) := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{K}) | \hat{x}(\varphi) = 0\}$$

of the zeros of a representative $\hat{x}$ of $x \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$.

Now, we can enunciate the following three results that appears in [5] and they will be important for our proposal.

**Theorem 2.1** (Fundamental theorem [5]). *i)* $x \in \mathrm{Inv}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}) \Leftrightarrow Z(\hat{x}) \notin \mathcal{S}_f$*;*

*ii)* $x \notin \mathrm{Inv}(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ *iff there exists an idempotent* $e \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$*, such that* $xe = 0$*. In particular, if* $x \in \overline{\mathbb{K}} \setminus \{0\}$ *and* $x \notin \mathrm{Inv}(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$*, then* $x$ *is a zero divisor. Moreover,* $\mathrm{Inv}(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ *is open and dense in* $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$*.*

**Theorem 2.2.** *An element* $x \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ *is a unit if and only if there exists* $r > 0$ *and a map* $\tau : \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{K}) \to ]0,1]$*, such that*

$$|\hat{x}(\varphi_\varepsilon)| \geq \dot{\alpha}_r(\varphi_\varepsilon),\ \forall\ 0 < \varepsilon < \tau(\varphi) = \eta,$$

*where* $\hat{x}$ *is a representative of* $x$*.*

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let* $\mathfrak{p} \lhd \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ *be a prime ideal. Then:*

*a) There exists* $\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_*(\mathcal{S}_f)$*, such that* $g_f(\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{p}) \subset \mathfrak{p}$*.*

*b)* $\{\overline{g_f(\mathcal{F})} | \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{P}_*(\mathcal{S}_f)\}$ *is the set of all maximal ideals of* $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$*.*

In [5] it is proved that $g_f(\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is indeed a minimal prime ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$. In general $g_f(\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is not closed and so $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is not Von Neumann regular.

If $\mathfrak{I} \lhd \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is a maximal ideal, then $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is algebraically closed in $\overline{\mathbb{K}}/\mathfrak{I}$ and it follows that $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$, the set of idempotents of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$, does not depend on $\mathbb{K}$, i.e., $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$. Moreover, in ([5],Theorem 4.14) it is proved that

$$\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) = \{\mathcal{X}_A | A \in \mathcal{S}_f\},$$

where $\mathcal{X}_A$ denotes the characteristic function of the set $A$, i.e,

$$\mathcal{X}_A(\varphi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \varphi \in A \\ 0, & \text{if } \varphi \in A^c. \end{cases}$$

For the sake of completeness, we recall the order structure of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ originally defined in [4] and after in [5].

**Lemma 2.4.** *For all $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

*i) Every representative $\hat{x}$ of $x$ satisfies the condition*

$$(*) \left| \begin{array}{l} \exists\ N \in \mathbb{N},\ \textit{such that } \forall\ b > 0 \forall\ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_N(\mathbb{K}) \\ \textit{there exists } \eta(b,\varphi) \in ]0,1],\ \textit{such that} \\ \hat{x}(\varphi_\varepsilon) \geq -\varepsilon^b,\ \forall\ \varepsilon \in I_\eta = ]0,\eta[. \end{array} \right.$$

*ii) There exists representative $\hat{x}$ of $x$ satisfying (*).*

*iii) There exists a representative $x_*$ of $x$, such that $x_*(\varphi) \geq 0,\ \forall\ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{K})$.*

*iv) There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a representative $x_*$ of $x$, such that $x_*(\varphi) \geq 0,\ \forall\ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_N(\mathbb{K})$.*

Based in Lemma 2.4 we have the following definition.

**Definition 2.5.** *An element $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is said to be non-negative, quasi-positive or q-positive, if it has a representative satisfying one of the conditions of Lemma 2.4. We shall denote this by $x \geq 0$. We shall also say that $x$ is non-positive, quasi-negative or q-negative if $-x$ is q-positive. If $y \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is another element, then we write $x \geq y$ if $x - y$ is q-positive and $x \leq y$ if $y - x$ is q-positive.*

The Proposition 2.6 below appears in [5] and we will extend it for $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ in Proposition 3.11 in the end Section 3.

**Proposition 2.6** (Convexity of ideals)**.** *Let $\mathfrak{J}$ be an ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ and $x, y \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$. Then:*

1) *$x \in \mathfrak{J}$ iff $|x| \in \mathfrak{J}$.*

2) *If $x \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $|y| \leq |x|$, then $y \in \mathfrak{J}$.*

3) *If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $0 \leq y \leq x$, then $y \in \mathfrak{J}$.*

Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\dot{\alpha}_r \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is the element having $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{K}) \mapsto (i(\varphi))^r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where $i(\varphi) = \text{diam}(\text{supp}(\varphi)) \neq 0,\ \forall\ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{K})$, i.e., $\dot{\alpha}_r(\varphi) = (i(\varphi))^r$ as a representative. It has the property that

$$\|\dot{\alpha}_r\| = e^{-r} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|\dot{\alpha}_r x\| = \|\dot{\alpha}_r\|\|x\|,$$

for any $x \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$. Thus, we have that an element $x \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is a unit iff there exists $r \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $|x| \geq \dot{\alpha}_r$ (see Theorem 2.2).

In [5], it is also proved that for $0 < x \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, there exists $y \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, such that $x = y^2$. In Sections 3 and 4, we will use freely some of the results in this section.

# 3 The topological algebra of the quaternion over the ring of Colombeau's full generalized numbers

Here, $(\mathbb{H}, |\cdot|)$ will denote the classical ring of real quaternion with usual metric and basis $\{1, i, j, k\}$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ unless otherwise stated. Moreover, if $S$ is a ring, then $\overline{\mathbb{H}}(S)$ denotes the quaternion algebra over $S$. We begin with the following definition about moderate and null functions.

**Definition 3.1.** *i) A function $\hat{x} : \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathbb{H}$ is moderate if there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{K})$, there exists $C = C_\varphi > 0$ and $\eta = \eta_\varphi > 0$, such that*

$$|\hat{x}(\varphi_\varepsilon)| \leq C\varepsilon^{-p},\ \forall\ 0 < \varepsilon < \eta.$$

*ii) Let $\mathcal{E}_M(\mathbb{H}) = \{\hat{x} : \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathbb{H} | \hat{x}$ is moderate$\}$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{H}) = \{\hat{x} \in \mathcal{E}_M(\mathbb{H}) | \hat{x}$ is null$\}$. We say that $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{E}_M(\mathbb{H})$ is null if there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, such that for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_q(\mathbb{K}),\ q \geq p$ there exists $C = C_\varphi > 0$ and $\eta = \eta_\varphi > 0$, such that*

$$|\hat{x}(\varphi_\varepsilon)| \leq C\varepsilon^{\gamma(q)-p},\ \forall\ 0 < \varepsilon < \eta,$$

*where* $\Gamma := \{\gamma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+ | \gamma(n) < \gamma(n+1),\ \forall\, n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma(n) = \infty\}$ *is the set of the strict increasing sequences diverging to infinity when* $n \to \infty$.

**Lemma 3.2.** *We have the following isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{E}_M(\mathbb{H})/\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{H}) \cong \mathbb{H}(\mathcal{E}_M(\mathbb{R}))/\mathbb{H}(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R})) = \mathbb{H}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}).$$

*Proof.* To prove this, we need to show that there exists the isomorphisms:

*i)* $\mathcal{E}_M(\mathbb{H}) \cong \mathbb{H}(\mathcal{E}_M(\mathbb{R}))$;

*ii)* $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{H}) \cong \mathbb{H}(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}))$.

In *i)* we need to show that $\hat{x}(\varphi) = \hat{x}_0(\varphi)1 + \hat{x}_1(\varphi)i + \hat{x}_2(\varphi)j + \hat{x}_3(\varphi)k \in \mathcal{E}_M(\mathbb{H})$ if and only if $\hat{x}_n(\varphi) \in \mathcal{E}_M(\mathbb{R}),\ \forall\, n = 0, 1, 2, 3$, i.e., $\hat{x}(\varphi) \in \mathbb{H}(\mathcal{E}_M(\mathbb{R}))$. Indeed, $\hat{x}(\varphi) \in \mathcal{E}_M(\mathbb{H}) \Leftrightarrow \exists\ p \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{K})$, there exists $C = C_\varphi > 0$ and $\eta = \eta_\varphi > 0$, such that $|\hat{x}(\varphi_\varepsilon)| \leq C\varepsilon^{-p},\ \forall\ 0 < \varepsilon < \eta \Leftrightarrow |\hat{x}_0(\varphi_\varepsilon)1 + \hat{x}_1(\varphi_\varepsilon)i + \hat{x}_2(\varphi_\varepsilon)j + \hat{x}_3(\varphi_\varepsilon)k| \leq C\varepsilon^{-p},\ \forall\ 0 < \varepsilon < \eta \Leftrightarrow |\hat{x}_n(\varphi_\varepsilon)| \leq C\varepsilon^{-p},\ \forall\ n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $\forall\ 0 < \varepsilon < \eta \Leftrightarrow \hat{x}_n(\varphi) \in \mathcal{E}_M(\mathbb{R}),\ \forall\ n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \Leftrightarrow \hat{x}(\varphi) \in \mathbb{H}(\mathcal{E}_M(\mathbb{R}))$.

By similar methods of *i)* we have that $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{H}) \cong \mathbb{H}(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R}))$. Hence, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{E}_M(\mathbb{H})/\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{H}) \cong \mathbb{H}(\mathcal{E}_M(\mathbb{R}))/\mathbb{H}(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R})) = \mathbb{H}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}),$$

and it follows the assertion. □

We denote $\mathbb{H}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ by $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. Let $x = x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ and its conjugate $\bar{x} := x_0 - (x_1 i + x_2 i + x_3 k)$, we have that $n(x) := \sqrt{x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} = \sqrt{x\bar{x}}$ is the norm of $x \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$. This defines a function of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ and we get that each $x \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ associates to $n(x) = \sqrt{x\bar{x}} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let* $x \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$. *Then*

*a)* $x \in \mathrm{Inv}(\overline{\mathbb{H}})$ *if and only if* $n(x) \in \mathrm{Inv}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ *and in this case, we have that* $x^{-1} = (n(x))^{-1}\bar{x}$.

*b) An element* $x \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ *is a zero divisor if and only if* $n(x)$ *is a zero divisor. In particular, we have that an element of* $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ *is either a unit or a zero divisor.*

*c) Let* $x = x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k$. *If one of the* $x_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ *is a unit, then* $x$ *is a unit.*

*Proof.* The proof is the same as [11]. □

**Definition 3.4.** *An element $x \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ is associated to zero, a property which will be denoted by $x \approx 0$, if for some (or equivalently, for each) representative $(x(\varphi))_\varphi$ of $x$ we have*

$$\exists\ p \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} x(\varphi_\varepsilon) = 0\ \forall\ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{K}).$$

*Two elements $x, y \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ are associated if $x - y \approx 0$, a property which will be denoted by $x \approx y$. If there exists some $a \in \mathbb{H}$ with $x \approx a$, then $a$ is called associated quaternion or shadow of $x$.*

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let $x, y \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ and $a \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$. Then*

*a)* $x \approx 0 \Leftrightarrow x_n \approx 0,\ \forall\ n = 0, 1, 2, 3$;

*b)* $x \approx y \Leftrightarrow x_n \approx y_n,\ \forall\ n = 0, 1, 2, 3$;

*c)* $x \approx a,\ x = x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ *if and only if there exists* $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ *such* $x_n \approx a_n,\ \forall\ n = 0, 1, 2, 3$, *i.e,* $a_n,\ n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ *are associated numbers or shadows of* $x_n \in \overline{\mathbb{R}},\ n = 0, 1, 2, 3$, *respectively (see Definition 1.3 in [5]).*

*Proof. a)*: Let $x = x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$. Then $x \approx 0 \Leftrightarrow \exists\ p \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} x(\varphi_\varepsilon) = 0,\ \forall\ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{K}) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0}(x_0(\varphi_\varepsilon) + x_1(\varphi_\varepsilon)i + x_2(\varphi_\varepsilon)j + x_3(\varphi_\varepsilon)k) = 0,\ \forall\ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{K}) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} x_0(\varphi_\varepsilon) + \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} x_1(\varphi_\varepsilon)i + \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} x_2(\varphi_\varepsilon)j + \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} x_3(\varphi_\varepsilon)k = 0,\ \forall\ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{K}) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} x_n(\varphi_\varepsilon) = 0,\ n = 0, 1, 2, 3,\ \forall\ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{K}) \Leftrightarrow x_n \approx 0,\ \forall\ n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ (see Definition 1.3 in [5]).

*b)*: Let $x = x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k$ and $y = y_0 + y_1 i + y_2 j + y_3 k$ be elements of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. Then $x \approx y \Leftrightarrow (x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k) \approx (y_0 + y_1 i + y_2 j + y_3 k) \Leftrightarrow (x_0 - y_0) + (x_1 - y_1)i + (x_2 - y_2)j + (x_3 - y_3)k \approx 0 \Leftrightarrow (x_n - y_n) \approx 0,\ \forall\ n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \Leftrightarrow x_n \approx y_n,\ \forall\ n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ (see Definition 1.3 in [5]).

*c)*: The proof is standard. □

For an element $x \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$, let

$$A(x) := \{r \in \mathbb{R} | \dot{\alpha}_{-r} x \approx 0\},$$

where $\dot{\alpha}_{-r}(\varphi) := (i(\varphi))^{-r} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ with inverse $\dot{\alpha}_r(\varphi) = (i(\varphi))^r$ (see [5]), and

$$V(x) := \sup(A(x))$$

its valuation. In the next lemma, we characterize the elements of $A(x)$.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let $x \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$. Then $r \in A(x)$ if and only if there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$, such that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-r} x_n(\varphi_\varepsilon) = 0, \ \forall \ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{K}), \ n = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

*This means that $r \in A(x) \Leftrightarrow r \in A(x_n), \ n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ (see Definition 1.4 in [5]).*

*Proof.* Let $x = x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$. Then $r \in A(x) \Leftrightarrow \dot{\alpha}_{-r} x \approx 0 \Leftrightarrow \dot{\alpha}_{-r}(x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k) \approx 0 \Leftrightarrow \dot{\alpha}_{-r} x_0 + \dot{\alpha}_{-r} x_1 i + \dot{\alpha}_{-r} x_2 j + \dot{\alpha}_{-r} x_3 k \approx 0 \Leftrightarrow \dot{\alpha}_{-r} x_n \approx 0, \ n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \Leftrightarrow \exists \ p \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-r} (i(\varphi))^{-r} x_n(\varphi_\varepsilon) = 0, \ n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ \forall \, \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{K}) \Leftrightarrow (i(\varphi))^{-r} \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-r} x_n(\varphi_\varepsilon) = 0, \ n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ \forall \, \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{K}) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-r} x_n(\varphi_\varepsilon) = 0, \ n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ \forall \ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{K})$, once that $(i(\varphi))^{-r} \neq 0, \ \forall \ \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{K})$. From Definition 1.4 of [5] it is equivalent to $r \in A(x) \Leftrightarrow r \in A(x_n), \ \forall \ n = 0, 1, 2, 3$. □

It is easily seen that either $A(x) = \mathbb{R}$ or there exists $r \in \mathbb{R}$, such that either $A(x) = ]-\infty, r[$ or $A(x) \subseteq ]-\infty, r]$. We define $\|x\| = e^{-V(x)}$ and $d(x, y) := \|x - y\|$. Note that all the definitions above make sense and that $d$ defines an ultra metric on $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. We denote $d_\pi$ as the product metric on $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ induced by the topology of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ defined in [5].

**Theorem 3.7.** *$(\overline{\mathbb{H}}, d)$ and $(\overline{\mathbb{H}}, d_\pi)$ are homeomorphic as topological spaces, i.e., $d$ and $d_\pi$ defines the same topology on $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$.*

*Proof.* Let $x = (x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k) \in (\overline{\mathbb{H}}, d)$ and $r > 0$ and let

$$B_r(x) = \{y \in \overline{\mathbb{H}} | d(x, y) < r\}.$$

If $y = (y_0 + y_1 i + y_2 j + y_3 k) \in B_r(x)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) < r &\Leftrightarrow \|x - y\| < r \\ &\Leftrightarrow e^{-V(x-y)} < r \\ &\Leftrightarrow e^{V(x-y)} > \frac{1}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, we have that

$$V(x - y) > \ln\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \Leftrightarrow \ln\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \in A(x - y).$$

Now, by the Lemma 3.6, we obtain, for all $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \in A(x_n - y_n) &\Leftrightarrow V(x_n - y_n) > \ln\left(\frac{1}{r}\right),\ n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \\
&\Leftrightarrow e^{V(x_n - y_n)} > \frac{1}{r},\ n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \\
&\Leftrightarrow e^{-V(x_n - y_n)} < r,\ n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \|x_n - y_n\| < r,\ n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \\
&\Leftrightarrow d_\pi(x, y) < r.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows the assertion. □

**Corollary 3.8.** *$(\overline{\mathbb{H}}, d)$ is a complete metric algebra.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 3.7 and the Proposition 1.7 of [5] which assures that $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is a complete topological ring. □

The following result shows that the unit group of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ is very "big".

**Theorem 3.9.** *The unit group* $\mathrm{Inv}(\overline{\mathbb{H}})$ *is an open and dense subset of* $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$.

*Proof.* Let $x = a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k \in \mathrm{Inv}(\overline{\mathbb{H}})$. Then $n(x) = \sqrt{x\bar{x}} \in \mathrm{Inv}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$. Thus, by the Fundamental Theorem, there exists $r > 0$, such that $B_r(n(x)) \subseteq \mathrm{Inv}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$. Now, let us suppose that $\mathrm{Inv}(\overline{\mathbb{H}})$ is not an open set. Then, still by the same theorem, we have that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exists a sequence $x_n \in B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x)$, such that $n(x_n) \notin \mathrm{Inv}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ and $x_n \underset{n\to\infty}{\to} x$. Hence, by Theorem 3.7, we have that $(x_n)_i \underset{n\to\infty}{\to} x_i,\ i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and it follows that

$$n(x_n) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{3} [(x_n)_i]^2} \underset{n\to\infty}{\to} \sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{3} x_i^2} = n(x),$$

which contradicts the fact that $n(x) \in \mathrm{Inv}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$.

We now prove the density. Suppose that there exists $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$, such that $B_r(z) \cap \mathrm{Inv}(\overline{\mathbb{H}}) = \emptyset$. If $x = n(z) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, and since the norm $n$ is obviously a continuous function, then we have that there exists an open ball $B_s(x) \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, such that $n^{-1}(B_s(y)) \subset B_r(z)$ which according to Lemma 3.3, contradicts the density of $\mathrm{Inv}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ (see [5]). □

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be an ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. If $\mathfrak{M}$ is maximal ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$, then $\mathfrak{M} = \overline{\mathfrak{M}}$.*

According to [21], each element $y$ in the real quaternion number $\mathbb{H}$ has the polar decomposition $y = n(y)e^{ar}$, where $r$ is the norm of the imaginary part of $y$ and $a$ is the angle $-\pi \leq a \leq \pi$ where we say that $a$ is the argument of $y$ and we denote it by $\arg(y)$. Remember that given a $y \in \mathbb{H}$, we have that $\mathrm{Re}(y)$ is the real part of $y$ and $\Im m(y)$ is imaginary part of $y$.

Now, for an element $y \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ we define the map $\theta_{\hat{y}} : \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathbb{H}$ by

$$\theta_{\hat{y}}(\varphi) = \exp(r.\arg(\hat{y}(\varphi))$$

and its inverse the map $\theta_{\hat{y}}^{-1} : \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathbb{H}$ by

$$\theta_{\hat{y}}^{-1}(\varphi) = \exp(-r\arg(\hat{y}(\varphi)),$$

where $-\pi \leq \arg(\hat{y}(\varphi)) \leq \pi$, $r = n(\Im m(\hat{y}(\varphi)))$ and $\hat{y} : A_0(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathbb{H}$ is a representative of $y$. Thus, for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{K})$, we have that $\theta_{\hat{y}}(\varphi).n(\hat{y}(\varphi)) = \hat{y}(\varphi)$. Therefore,

$$\theta_{\hat{y}}.n(\hat{y}) = \hat{y}, \tag{1}$$

and we have that $\forall\ y \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$, there exists a (and therefore all) representative(s) $\hat{y}$ of $y$ such that holds the equation (1). Since $\theta_{\hat{y}}$ and $\theta_{\hat{y}}^{-1}$ are inverses of each other and we denote their classes by $\Theta_{\hat{y}}$ and $\Theta_{\hat{y}}^{-1}$, respectively.

In Proposition 2.6 we have the convexity of ideals in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and in the next result we have the convexity of ideals in $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ which completes ([11], Lemma 3.7).

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let $\mathfrak{J}$ be an ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. The following statements hold.*

*a) $x \in \mathfrak{J}$ if and only if $n(x) \in \mathfrak{J}$.*

*b) If $x \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $n(y) \leq n(x)$, then $y \in \mathfrak{J}$.*

*Proof.* *a)*: Suppose that $x \in \mathfrak{J}$. Since, $x = n(x)\Theta_{\hat{x}}$ then we have that $n(x) = x\Theta_{\hat{x}}^{-1} \in \mathfrak{J}$. Conversely, suppose that $n(x) \in \mathfrak{J}$ and we have that $x = n(x)\Theta_{\hat{x}}^{-1} \in \mathfrak{J}$. So, $x \in \mathfrak{J}$

*b)*: It follows from the similar methods of the proof ([5], Proposition 4.6). □

# 4 The algebraic structure of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$

In this section, unless otherwise stated, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. We start proving that Boolen algebra of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ equals that of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

**Theorem 4.1.** $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{H}}) = \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$.

*Proof.* To prove this, it is necessary to prove the following two inclusions:

*i)* $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{H}}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$;

*ii)* $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{H}})$.

Let us prove *i)*: Let $e \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{H}})$ be a non-trivial idempotent. Then $n(e), n(1-e) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ are idempotents, i.e., $n(e), n(1-e) \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$. By the Theorem 4.14 of [5], there exists $A, B \in \mathcal{S}_f$, such that $n(e) = \mathcal{X}_A$ and $n(1-e) = \mathcal{X}_B$. Thus, we have that that

$$n(e)\mathcal{X}_{A^c} = \mathcal{X}_A\mathcal{X}_{A^c} = 0,$$

but $n(e)\mathcal{X}_{A^c} = n(e\mathcal{X}_{A^c})$ which implies that $n(e\mathcal{X}_{A^c}) = 0$ Hence, $e = e\mathcal{X}_A$. On the other hand, since $e(1-e) = 0$, we have that $\mathcal{X}_A\mathcal{X}_B = 0$. By the fact that $e\mathcal{X}_A = e$ and $(1-e)\mathcal{X}_B = 1-e$, we have that $e\mathcal{X}_A + (1-e)\mathcal{X}_B = 1$. Consequently, $e\mathcal{X}_A = \mathcal{X}_A$ and we get that $e = \mathcal{X}_A$. Hence, $e \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$ and it follows *i)*. The affirmation in *ii)* is clearly true. From *i)* and *ii)* the result follows. □

The following remark will be useful in this paper.

**Remark 4.2.** *Note that* $\overline{\mathbb{H}}/\overline{\mathbb{H}}(g_f(\mathcal{F}))$ *is isomorphic to* $\overline{\mathbb{H}}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}/g_f(\mathcal{F})\right)$.

In the next result, we shall use the Fundametal Theorem of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$, i.e, Theorem 2.1, to give a complete description of the maximal ideals of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let* $\mathfrak{M}$ *be a maximal ideal of* $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. *Then there exists* $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{P}_*(S_f)$, *such that* $\mathfrak{M} = \overline{\mathbb{H}}\left(\overline{g_f(\mathcal{F})}\right)$.

*Proof.* We clearly have that $\mathfrak{M} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a prime ideal. Thus, there exists a unique $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{P}_*(\mathcal{S}_f)$, such that

$$g_f(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}.$$

Hence, we have that

$$\overline{g_f(\mathcal{F})} \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{M}} = \mathfrak{M},$$

which implies that $\overline{g_f(\mathcal{F})} = \mathfrak{M} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{M} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a maximal ideal. Since,

$$\overline{\mathbb{H}}(\overline{g_f(\mathcal{F})}) = \overline{g_f(\mathcal{F})}1 + \overline{g_f(\mathcal{F})}i + \overline{g_f(\mathcal{F})}j + \overline{g_f(\mathcal{F})}k,$$

then

$$\overline{\mathbb{H}}/\overline{\mathbb{H}}(\overline{g_f(\mathcal{F})}) \cong \overline{\mathbb{H}}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}/\overline{g_f(\mathcal{F})})$$

and by the fact that $\mathbb{R}$ is algebraically closed in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}/\overline{g_f(\mathcal{F})}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is simple, we have that $\mathfrak{M} = \overline{\mathbb{H}}(\overline{g_f(\mathcal{F})})$. □

Let $\mathfrak{I}$ be an ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ and denoted by $n(\mathfrak{I})$, the ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ generated by the set $\{n(x)|x \in \mathfrak{I}\}$.

The next definition is well known, see [12].

**Definition 4.4.** *A ring $S$ is said to be right(left) duo if all right(left) ideals of $S$ are two-sided ideals. Moreover, $S$ is duo if it is right and left duo.*

Now, we prove an interesting result for the generalized quaternion rings.

**Theorem 4.5.** *$\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ is duo.*

*Proof.* Let $\mathfrak{I}$ be a right ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ and we claim that $\langle \mathfrak{I} \cap \overline{R} \rangle = \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{H}} : n(x) \in \mathfrak{I} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}\} = \mathfrak{I}$. In fact, it is not difficult to show that $\langle \mathfrak{I} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}} \rangle$ is an ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. Let $y \in \mathfrak{I}$. Then we have that $n(y)^2 = y.\overline{y} \in \mathfrak{I} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Since $n(y) \leq n(y)^2 \in \mathfrak{I} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, then by Proposition 3.11-*b*), we have that $n(y) \in \mathfrak{I} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Thus, $y \in \langle \mathfrak{I} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}} \rangle$ and we have that $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \langle \mathfrak{I} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}} \rangle$. On the other hand, for each $a \in \langle \mathfrak{I} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}} \rangle$ we have that $n(a) \in \mathfrak{I} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$. Since, $n(a) = a\Theta_{\hat{a}}$, then $a = n(a).\Theta_{\hat{a}}^{-1} \in \mathfrak{I}$. So, $\mathfrak{I} = \langle \mathfrak{I} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}} \rangle$ and we obtain that $\mathfrak{I}$ is an ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. Similarly, we prove that the left ideals are ideals. Therefore, $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ is duo. □

Let $S$ be a ring, and denote by $\gamma(S)$, its Brown McCoy radical, i.e., $\gamma(S)$ is the intersection of all ideals $\mathfrak{M}$ of $S$, such that $S/\mathfrak{M}$ is a simple and unitary. Note that if $S$ is either commutative or duo, then $\gamma(S)$ coincide with the Jacobson radical. In the next result, we completely characterize the Jacobson radical of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$, since by Theorem 4.5, we have that $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ is duo.

**Lemma 4.6.** *$J(\overline{\mathbb{H}}) \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}} = J(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$. In particular, $J(\overline{\mathbb{H}}) = (0)$.*

*Proof.* To prove this, we need to prove the both inclusions below:

*i)* $J(\overline{\mathbb{H}}) \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq J(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$;

*ii)* $J(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) \subseteq J(\overline{\mathbb{H}}) \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

Let us prove *i*): Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a maximal ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Then by the Theorem 3.21-(1) of [5], we have that $\mathfrak{M} = \overline{g_f(\mathcal{F})}$ for some $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{P}_*(\mathcal{S}_f)$ and we get that $\overline{\mathbb{H}}(\mathfrak{M}) = \overline{\mathbb{H}}(\overline{g_f(\mathcal{F})})$ is a maximal ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$, which implies that $J(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) \supseteq J(\overline{\mathbb{H}}) \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

Now we will prove *ii*): Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a maximal ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. Then by the Theorem 4.3, we have that $\mathfrak{M} = \overline{\mathbb{H}}(\overline{g_f(\mathcal{F})})$ for some $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{P}_*(\mathcal{S}_f)$, but in this case, we have that $\overline{g_f(\mathcal{F})}) = \mathfrak{M} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a maximal ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and thus $J(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) \subseteq J(\overline{\mathbb{H}}) \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

From *i*) and *ii*), we have that $J(\overline{\mathbb{H}}) \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}} = J(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$.

By ([5], Theorem 3.20) the Jacobson radical of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is zero and it follows that $J(\overline{\mathbb{H}}) \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}} = 0$. So, $J(\overline{\mathbb{H}}) = 0$. □

Using similar ideals of ([5], Theorem 4.17) and ([11], Proposition 4.5), we have the following Proposition.

**Proposition 4.7.** *The ring $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ is not Von Neumann regular.*

According to [1], a noncommutative ring $S$ is exchange if for any $a \in S$ there exists an idempotent $e \in S$ such that $a + e$ is invertible.

Next, we want to show that $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ is an exchange ring and, for this, we need to prove that $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is an exchange ring as the next proposition shows.

**Proposition 4.8.** *$\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is an exchange ring. In particular, if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, then $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is an exchange ring.*

*Proof.* Let $x \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, $\hat{x}$ its representative,

$$T = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{K}) : \exists\, p \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |\hat{x}(\varphi_\varepsilon)| \leq 1/2,\ \forall\, \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{K}),\ \forall\, \varepsilon \in I_\eta\},$$

where $I_\eta \subset (0,1)$, and $e_T = \mathcal{X}_T$. We claim that $x + e_T$ is an invertible element. i.e, $|(\hat{x} + \hat{e}_t)(\varphi_\varepsilon)| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for $r = \log_\varepsilon(\frac{1}{2i(\varphi)})$ in $\dot{\alpha}_r(\varphi_\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2}$ as in Theorem 2.2. In fact, if $\varphi \in T$, then there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\hat{x}(\varphi_\varepsilon)| \leq 1/2,\ \forall\, \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{K}),\ \forall\, \varepsilon \in I_\eta$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |(\hat{x} + \hat{e}_T)(\varphi_\varepsilon)| &= |\hat{x}(\varphi_\varepsilon) + \hat{e}_T(\varphi_\varepsilon)| \\ &= |\hat{x}(\varphi_\epsilon) + 1| \\ &\geq 1/2. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Now, if $\varphi \notin T$, then by definition of $T$, we have that $|\hat{x}(\varphi_\varepsilon)| > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\hat{e}_T(\varphi_\varepsilon) = 0$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |(\hat{x} + \hat{e}_T)(\varphi_\varepsilon)| &= |\hat{x}(\varphi_\varepsilon) + \hat{e}_T(\varphi_\varepsilon)| \\ &= |\hat{x}(\varphi_\varepsilon)| \\ &> \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and we consider $r$ as above. Hence, in both cases, we have from (2) and (3) that $x + e_T$ is invertible element, and we have that $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is an exchange ring. □

Note that the Proposition 4.8 holds for $\overline{\mathbb{K}}, i.e., \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. In the next result, we use Proposition 4.8 for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ to prove it.

**Theorem 4.9.** *$\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ is an exchange ring.*

*Proof.* Let $(a + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k) \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$. Since $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is an exchange ring, there exists an idempotent $e \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, such that $a_0 + e$ is invertible, So, by Lemma 3.3 $(a + e) = (a_0 + e) + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k$ is invertible in $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ and it follows the result. □

The next definition is well-known and we can see an example in [18, 17] and [19]

**Definition 4.10.** *Let $S$ be a noncommutative reduced ring with an order "$\leq$". We say that $S$ is nornal if for two minimal prime ideals $\mathfrak{P}_1$, $\mathfrak{P}_2$ of $S$ such that $\mathfrak{P}_1 \neq \mathfrak{P}_2$, we have $S = \mathfrak{P}_1 + \mathfrak{P}_2$.*

**Theorem 4.11.** $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ *is normal*

*Proof.* Let $\mathfrak{P}_1$, $\mathfrak{P}_2$ be minimal prime ideals of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. Then by Theorem 4.3 $\mathfrak{P}_1 = \overline{\mathbb{H}}(g_f(\mathcal{F}_1))$ and $\mathfrak{P}_2 = \overline{\mathbb{H}}(g_f(\mathcal{F}_2))$. By similar methods of ([25], Corollary 2.4) we have that $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a commutative normal ring and we get that $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = g_f(\mathcal{F}_1) + g_f(\mathcal{F}_2)$. Thus, $\overline{\mathbb{H}} = \overline{\mathbb{H}}(g_f(\mathcal{F}_1 + \mathcal{F}_2)) = \overline{\mathbb{H}}(g_f(\mathcal{F}_1)) + \overline{\mathbb{H}}(g_f(\mathcal{F}_2))$. So, $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ is normal. □

The next definition is well-know, and we can see, for example, in [23, 24] and [9].

**Definition 4.12.** *A not necessarily commutative ring $S$ is right Gelfand if for any right maximal ideals $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{N}$ of $S$ there exists $a, b \in S$ such that $a \notin \mathfrak{M}$, $b \notin \mathfrak{N}$ with $aRb = 0$. Similarly we have left Gelfand rings. Moreover, a ring is Gelfand if it is right and left Gelfand.*

**Theorem 4.13.** $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ *is Gelfand.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.1 we have that all right and left ideals are ideals. Thus, in this case all right and left maximal ideals are maximal ideals. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{N}$ maximal ideals of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. Then by Theorem 4.3 we have that $\mathfrak{M} = \overline{\mathbb{H}}(\overline{g(\mathcal{F}_1)})$ and $\mathfrak{N} = \overline{\mathbb{H}}(\overline{g(\mathcal{F}_2)})$. By similar methods of ([25], Section 2) we have that $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is Gelfand and we obtain that there exists $a, b \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $a \notin \overline{g(\mathcal{F}_1)}$ and $b \notin \overline{g(\mathcal{F}_2)}$, such that $a\overline{\mathbb{R}}b = 0$. Hence, $a \notin \mathfrak{M}$ and $b \notin \mathfrak{N}$ such that $a\overline{\mathbb{H}}b = 0$. Therefore, $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ is Gelfand. □

According to [14] a not necessary commutive ring $S$ is right Bezout if all finitely generated ideals are principal. Analogously, we define left Bezout. Moreover, a ring is Bezout if it is right and left Bezout.

**Theorem 4.14.** $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ *is Bezout*

*Proof.* We claim that for any $a \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$, we have that

$$\langle n(a)\overline{\mathbb{R}}\rangle = \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{H}} : n(x) \in n(a)\overline{\mathbb{R}}\} = n(a)\overline{\mathbb{H}}.$$

In fact, for each $y \in a\overline{\mathbb{H}}$, we get that $n(y) \in a\overline{\mathbb{H}} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Thus, $n(y) = ax$ which implies that $n(y) = n(ax) = n(a)n(x) \in n(a)\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and we have that

$y \in \langle n(a)\overline{\mathbb{R}}\rangle$. On the other hand, let $z \in \langle n(a)\overline{\mathbb{R}}\rangle$. Then, $n(z) \in n(a)\overline{\mathbb{R}} = a\Theta_{\bar{a}}\overline{\mathbb{R}} \in a\overline{\mathbb{H}} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Hence, $n(z) \in a\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ and by Proposition 3.11, $z \in a\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. Finally, for each $a, b \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} a\overline{\mathbb{H}} + b\overline{\mathbb{H}} &= \langle n(a)\overline{\mathbb{R}}\rangle + \langle n(b)\overline{\mathbb{R}}\rangle \\ &= \langle n(a)\overline{\mathbb{R}} + n(b)\overline{\mathbb{R}}\rangle \\ &= \langle (n(a) + n(b))\overline{\mathbb{R}}\rangle \\ &= (n(a) + n(b))\overline{\mathbb{H}} \end{aligned}$$

which proves our assertion. □

In the next result, we show that all the ideals of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ are idempotent.

**Proposition 4.15.** *All the ideals of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ are idempotent.*

*Proof.* Let $\mathfrak{I}$ be an ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. Then we easily have that $\mathfrak{I}^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$. On the other, let $a \in \mathfrak{I}$. Then by Proposition 3.11 we have that $n(a) \in \mathfrak{I}$. Thus, $n(a) \leq n(a)^2 \in \mathfrak{I}^2$ and again by Proposition 3.11, $n(a) \in \mathfrak{I}^2$ which implies by Proposition 3.11 that $a \in \mathfrak{I}^2$. Hence, $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \mathfrak{I}^2$. So, $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{I}^2$. □

**Remark 4.16.** *Using the same techiniques as above, we get that all ideals of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ are idempotent.*

Now, we introduced the concept of pseudo-prime rings for noncommutative rings.

**Definition 4.17.** *Let $S$ be a not necessarily commutative ring and $\mathfrak{I}$ an ideal of $S$. We say that $\mathfrak{I}$ is pseudo-prime if for ideals $\mathfrak{J}$ and $\mathfrak{K}$ of $S$ such that $\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{K} = 0$ implies that $\mathfrak{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$ and $\mathfrak{K} \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$.*

In Definition 4.17, if $S$ is commutative, we have the definition presented in [25].

Using the similar methods of ([25], Theorem 4.6) and Remark 4.16, we have the following result.

**Lemma 4.18.** *Let $\mathfrak{I}$ be an ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $\mathfrak{I}$ is pseudo-prime if and only if $\mathfrak{I}$ is prime.*

Next, we show that all pseudo-prime ideals of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ are in fact prime ideals.

**Proposition 4.19.** *Let $\mathfrak{I}$ be an ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. Then $\mathfrak{I}$ is prime if and only if it is pseudo-prime.*

*Proof.* Suppose that $\mathfrak{I}$ is pseudo prime. We claim that $\mathfrak{I} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is pseudo-prime. In fact, let $a, b \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $ab = 0$. Then $a\overline{\mathbb{H}}b\overline{\mathbb{H}} = ab\overline{\mathbb{H}} = 0$. By assumption, we have that $a\overline{\mathbb{H}} \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$ or $b\overline{\mathbb{H}} \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$ and it follows that $a \in \mathfrak{I} \cap \overline{R}$ or $b \in \mathfrak{I} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Thus, by Lemma 4.18 $\mathfrak{I} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is prime.

Next, we show that $\mathfrak{I}$ is prime. In fact, let $\mathfrak{J}$ and $\mathfrak{K}$ be ideals of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ such that $\mathfrak{J}\mathfrak{K} \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$. Then $(\mathfrak{J} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}})(\mathfrak{K} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{I} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Thus, we have that $(\mathfrak{J} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$ or $(\mathfrak{K} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$. So, $\langle \mathfrak{J} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}} \rangle = \{a \in \overline{\mathbb{H}} : n(a) \in \mathfrak{J} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}\} = \mathfrak{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$ or $\langle \mathfrak{K} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}} \rangle = \{a \in \overline{\mathbb{H}} : n(a) \in \mathfrak{K} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}}\} = \mathfrak{K} \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$. Hence, $\mathfrak{I}$ is prime. □

The next definitions appears in ([16], Definitions 4.10.3 and 4.10.6).

**Definition 4.20.** *i) Let $S$ be a ring. A nonempty set $M \subseteq S$ is called an $m$-system if, for any $a, b \in M$ there exists $s \in S$ such that $asb \in M$.*

*ii) Let $S$ be a ring. For an ideal $\mathfrak{U}$ of $S$, the radical of $\mathfrak{U}$ is*

$$\sqrt{\mathfrak{U}} = \{s \in S : \textit{every } m - \textit{system containing } s \textit{ meets } \mathfrak{U}\}.$$

An ideal $\mathfrak{U}$ of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ is radical of $\mathfrak{U} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{U}}$. In the next result, we show that all the ideals of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ are radicals.

**Proposition 4.21.** *i) All the ideals of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ are radicals;*

*ii) All the ideals of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ are radicals.*

*Proof. i)*: Let $\mathfrak{I}$ be an ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ and $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{I}}$. Then there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $a^n \in \mathfrak{I}$, since the set $\{1, a^2, ..., a^n..\}$ is a $m$-system. Then by Proposition 3.11 $n(a)^n \in \mathfrak{I}$ and note that $n(a) \leq n(a)^n \in \mathfrak{I}$. Hence, by Proposition 3.11 we have that $n(a) \in \mathfrak{I}$. So, $a \in \mathfrak{I}$ and it follows that $\mathfrak{I} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{I}}$.

*ii)*: The proof follows the similar methods of *i)*. □

In the next results, we characterize the essential ideals[1] of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. We shall use the notation of [8].

[1] A closed ideal $\mathfrak{I}$ in a $C^*$-algebra $A$ is called essential if $\mathfrak{I}$ has nonzero intersection with every other nonzero closed ideal $A$ or, equivalently, if $a\mathfrak{I} = \{0\}$ implies $a = 0$ for all $a \in A$ (Raeburn and Williams 1998).

In mathematics, specifically module theory, given a ring $R$ and $R$-modules $M$ with a submodule $N$, the module $M$ is said to be an essential extension of $N$ (or $N$ is said to be an essential submodule or large submodule of $M$) if for every submodule $H$ of $M$, $H \cap N = \{0\}$ implies that $H = \{0\}$. As a special case, an essential left ideal of $R$ is a left ideal which is essential as a submodule of the left module $R_R$. The left ideal has non-zero intersection with any non-zero left ideal of $R$. Analogously, the essential right ideal is exactly an essential submodule of the right $R$ module $R_R$

**Lemma 4.22.** *Let $\mathfrak{I}$ be an ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $r_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{I}) \neq \{0\}$ if and only if there exists an idempotent $e \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$, such that $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}e$. Equivalently, $\mathfrak{I}$ is essential if and only if it is not contained in a principal idempotent ideal. Moreover, if $r_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{I}) \neq \{0\}$, then $\mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}}) \cap r_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{I}) \neq \{0\}$.*

*Proof.* ($\Leftarrow$): If there exists an idempotent $e \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{\mathbb{R}})$, such that $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}e$, then $(1-e) \in r_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{I})$, and we get , $r_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{I}) \neq \{0\}$.

($\Rightarrow$): Conversely, if $0 \neq x \in r_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}(J)$, then $x$ must be a zero divisor and it follows that there exists $A \in \mathcal{S}_f$, such that $x\mathcal{X}_A = 0$ or equivalently $x\mathcal{X}_{A^c} = x$. We claim that $\mathcal{X}_{A^c} \in r_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{I})$. Indeed, for any $y \in \mathfrak{I}$, we have that $xy = 0 \Rightarrow (x\mathcal{X}_{A^c})y = 0 \Rightarrow x\mathcal{X}_{A^c}y = 0$. So, if we choose representatives, we have that $\hat{x}(1-\mathcal{X}_A)\hat{y} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbb{R})$. We will show that $(1-\mathcal{X}_A)\hat{y} = \mathcal{X}_{A^c}\hat{y} \in \mathcal{N}_f(\mathbb{R})$. In fact, if $\mathcal{X}_{A^c}\hat{y} \notin \mathcal{N}_f(\mathbb{R})$. then $V(\mathcal{X}_{A^c}\hat{y}) < \infty$, i.e., there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$, such that $V(\mathcal{X}_{A^c}\hat{y}) = \sup(A(\mathcal{X}_{A^c}\hat{y})) = a$, and consequently there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{X}_{A^c}(\varphi_\varepsilon)\hat{y}(\varphi_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^a} \neq 0.$$

However,

$$0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\hat{x}(\varphi_\varepsilon)\mathcal{X}_{A^c}(\varphi_\varepsilon)\hat{y}(\varphi_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^{a+b}} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\hat{x}(\varphi_\varepsilon)\mathcal{X}_{A^c}(\varphi_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^b} \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{X}_{A^c}(\varphi_\varepsilon)\hat{y}(\varphi_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^a},$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\hat{x}(\varphi_\varepsilon)\mathcal{X}_{A^c}(\varphi_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^b} = 0, \ \forall \ b \in \mathbb{R},$$

and it follows that $A(\hat{x}\mathcal{X}_{A^c}) = \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow V(\hat{x}\mathcal{X}_{A^c}) = +\infty \Rightarrow \hat{x}\mathcal{X}_{A^c} \in \mathcal{N}_f(\mathbb{R})$. So, $x\mathcal{X}_{A^c} = 0$, we have that $x = x\mathcal{X}_A + x\mathcal{X}_{A^c} = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathcal{X}_{A^c}\hat{y} \in \mathcal{N}_f(\mathbb{R})$ and it follows that $\mathcal{X}_{A^c}y = 0, \ \forall \ y \in \mathfrak{I}$, and we have that $\mathcal{X}_{A^c} \in r_{\overline{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathfrak{I})$. Using this, we have for $y \in \mathfrak{I}$ that $y = y\mathcal{X}_A + y\mathcal{X}_{A^c} = y\mathcal{X}_A \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{X}_A$. □

Lemma 4.23, Lemma 4.24 and Lemma 4.25 below, which extends the results of ([11], Lemma 4.7, Lemma 4.8 and Lemma 4.9) have their proves with similar techniques of [11], and their proves will be omitted here.

**Lemma 4.23.** *Any proper finitely generated ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is contained in a principal idempontent ideal. Hence, it is not essential. In particular, essential ideals are not finitely generated.*

**Lemma 4.24.** *Let $\mathfrak{I}$ be an ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. Then $\mathfrak{I} \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbb{H}})$ iff $n(\mathfrak{I}) \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbb{H}})$, where $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbb{H}})$ is the set of all essential ideals of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$.*

**Lemma 4.25.** *Let $\mathfrak{J} \lhd \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ be an ideal. Then $\mathfrak{I} \subset \overline{\mathbb{H}}(n(\mathfrak{I}))$. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{I}$ is semi-prime, then $\mathfrak{I} = \overline{\mathbb{H}}(n(\mathfrak{I}))$.*

Proposition 4.26 which extends the result of ([11], Proposition 4.10) has its prove as that appears in [11] and therefore will be omitted.

**Proposition 4.26.** *Let $\mathfrak{I} \lhd \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ be an ideal. The following conditions are equivalent:*

*a)* $\mathfrak{I} \notin \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbb{H}})$*;*

*b) There exists an idempotent $e \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, such that $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{H}}e$.*

Here we let $\mathbb{K}$ stand for $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. It follows from Proposition 4.26 that the singular ideals $Z_r(\overline{\mathbb{K}}) = Z_r(\overline{\mathbb{H}}) = \{0\}$ and hence, by Theorem 2.1.15 of [8], we have that $Q_{\max}(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ and $Q_{mr}(\overline{\mathbb{H}})$, the maximal right ring of quotients of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ are Von Neumann regular. Moreover, it is not difficult to see that $Q_{mr}(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ is contained $Q_{mr}(\overline{\mathbb{H}})$.

**Aknowledgement**: The authors are grateful to UAMat/CCT/UFCG and CCEN/UFERSA where this work was realized. The second author thanks PNPD/CAPES for their support.

# References

[1] Pere Ara. The exchange property for purely infinite simple rings. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, pages 2543–2547, 2004. 13

[2] J. Aragona and H. A. Biagioni. Intrinsic definition of the colombeau algebra of generalized functions. *Analysis Mathematica*, 17(2):75–132, 1991. 2

[3] J. Aragona, R. Fernandez, and S. O. Juriaans. A discontinuous colombeau differential calculus. *Monatsh. Math.*, 144:13–29, 10 2005. 2

[4] J. Aragona, R. Fernandez, and S. O. Juriaans. The sharp topology on the full colombeau algebra of generalized functions. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 17(2-3):165–170, 2006. 4

[5] J. Aragona, A. R. G. Garcia, and S. O. Juriaans. Algebraic theory of colombeau's generalized numbers. *Journal of Algebra*, 384:194–211, 2013. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13

[6] J. Aragona and S. O. Juriaans. Some structural properties of the topological ring of colombeau's generalized numbers. *Communications in Algebra*, pages 2201–2230, 2001. 1, 2

[7] J. Aragona, S. O. Juriaans, O. R. B. Oliveira, and D. Scarpalézos. Algebraic and geometric theory of the topological ring of colombeau generalized functions. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society (Series 2)*, 51(03):545–564, 2008. 2

[8] Konstant J. Beidar, Wallace S. Martindale, and Alexander A. Mikhalev. *Rings with generalized identities*. CRC Press, 1995. 16, 18

[9] Francis Borceux. *Algebra in a localic topos with applications to ring theory*. 1983. 14

[10] J. F. Colombeau. *New generalized functions and multiplication of distributions*, volume 84. Elsevier, 2000. 2

[11] W. Cortes, M. Ferrero, and S. O. Juriaans. The colombeau quaternion algebra. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 499:37, 2009. 2, 7, 10, 13, 17, 18

[12] R. C. Courter. Finite-dimensional right duo algebras are duo. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 84(2):157–161, 1982. 12

[13] M. Grosser, M. Kunzinger, R. Steinbauer, and J. A. Vickers. A global theory of algebras of generalized functions. *Advances in Mathematics*, 166(1):50–72, 2002. 1, 2

[14] R. B. Warfield Jr. Bezout rings and serial rings. *Communications in Algebra*, 7(5):533–545, 1979. 14

[15] M. Kunzinger. *Lie transformation groups in Colombeau algebras*. na, 1996. 1, 2

[16] Tsit Yuen Lam. Prime and primitive rings. *Exercises in Classical Ring Theory*, pages 141–200, 2003. 16

[17] Thierry Levasseur. Some properties of non-commutative regular graded rings. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, 34(03):277–300, 1992. 14

[18] Eben Matlis et al. The minimal prime spectrum of a reduced ring. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 27(3):353–391, 1983. 14

[19] John C. McConnell, James Christopher Robson, and Lance W. Small. *Noncommutative noetherian rings*, volume 30. American Mathematical Soc., 2001. 14

[20] M. Oberguggenberger. *Multiplication of distributions and applications to partial differential equations*, volume 259. Longman Scientific & Technical Harlow, 1992. 1, 2

[21] Stephen J. Sangwine and Nicolas Le Bihan. Quaternion polar representation with a complex modulus and complex argument inspired by the cayley-dickson form. *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras*, 20(1):111–120, 2010. 10

[22] D. Scarpalézos. Colombeau's generalized functions: Topological structures; microlocal properties. a simplified point of view. part i. *Bull. Cl. Sci. Math. Nat. Sci. Math*, 25(89):114, 2000. 1, 2

[23] Shu-Hao Sun. Noncommutative rings in which every prime ideal is contained in a unique maximal ideal. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, North-Holland*, (76):179–192, 1991. 14

[24] Shu-Hao Sun. Rings in which every prime ideal is contained in a unique maximal right ideal. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, pages 183–194, 1992. 14

[25] H. Vernaeve. Ideals in the ring of colombeau generalized numbers. *Communications in Algebra®*, 38(6):2199–2228, 2010. 2, 14, 15

Wagner Cortes, Instituto de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre-RS, Brazil, Av. Bento Gonçalves, 9500, 91509-900

*E-mail address*, Wagner Cortes: wocortes@gmail.com

A. R. G. Garcia (Corresponding author), Centro de Ciências Exatas e Naturais, Universidade Federal Rural do Semi-Árido, Mossoró-RN, Brazil, Av. Francisco Mota, 572, 59.625-900.

*E-mail address*, A. R. G. Garcia: ronaldogarcia@ufersa.edu.br

S. H. da Silva, Unidade Acadêmica de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Campina Grande, Campina Grande-PB, Brazil, Av. Aprígio Veloso, 785, 58429-970.

*E-mail address*, S. H. da Silva: horacio@mat.ufcg.edu.br